

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

			<u> </u>	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/845,157	05/01/2001	Michael D. Smith	0942.5040001/RWE/MTT	2674
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W., SUITE 600 WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3934			EXAMINER	
			FREDMAN, JEFFREY NORMAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1637	a
			DATE MAILED: 07/12/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
·	09/845,157	SMITH ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jeffrey Fredman	1637			
The MAILING DATE of this communication apporariod for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>5/01</u>	/^1				
<u> </u>	s action is non-final.				
		rosecution as to the merits is			
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) 1-48 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority document					
Certified copies of the priority document					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _ 	5) Notice of Informa	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1637

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-29, 44-48, drawn to reverse transcriptase enzymes and kits thereof, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
 - II. Claims 30-33, drawn to vectors and host cells, classified in class 435, subclass 240.2 and 320.1.
 - III. Claims 34-35, drawn to methods of expression of reverse transcriptases, classified in class 435, subclass 69.1.
 - IV. Claims 36-38, 41-43, drawn to methods of reverse transcription, classified in class 435, subclass 91.51.
 - V. Claims 39-40, drawn to nucleic acids, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions in Group I and in Groups II and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are unrelated because the reverse transcriptase enzymes differ in chemical structure, in mode of operation, in function and in effect from either vectors and host cells or nucleic acids. Each of these elements has, for example, a different function, with the enzyme

Art Unit: 1637

functioning to copy RNA into DNA, the vectors functioning to replicate in cells and the nucleic acids functioning to hybridize.

- 3. Inventions in Group I and in Group III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the reverse transcriptase can be made by the expression method of Group III, or by chemical synthesis or by in vitro translation or by purification from a host cell.
- 4. Inventions in Group I and in Group IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the reverse transcriptase of Group I can be used in the amplification methods of Group IV, in assays to determine the presence of certain viruses, or in methods such as 3SR.
- 5. Inventions in Group II and in Group III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the

Art Unit: 1637

vector can be used in the expression method of Group III or in nucleic acid purification methods or in hybridization detection methods.

- 6. Inventions in Group II and in Group IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are unrelated because the vectors of Group II are not related to the synthesis methods of Group IV, which do not use or make the vectors of Group II and which have different modes of operation, function and effect from the vectors of Group II.
- 7. Inventions in Group III and in Groups IV and Group V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are unrelated because the methods of expression and the methods of synthesis differ in mode of operation, in function and in effect with the expression method resulting in a reverse transcriptase while the synthesis method uses a reverse transcriptase to form a nucleic acid. Further, the invention of Group III does not make or use the nucleic acids of Group V.
- 8. Inventions in Group IV and in Group V are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and

Art Unit: 1637

materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product of Group V can be made by reverse transcription by the method of Group IV or by chemical synthesis on a oligonucleotide synthesizer or by expression in an expression system.

- 9. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 10. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 11. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I – Leucine 52 of MMLV

Species II – Tyrosine 64 of MMLV

Species III - Lysine 152 of MMLV

Species IV – Histidine 204 of MMLV

Species V – Methionine 289 of MMLV

Species VI - Threonine 306 of MMLV

Species VII - Tyrosine 133 of MMLV

Species VIII - Threonine 197 of MMLV

Species IX – Phenylalanine 309 of MMLV.

Art Unit: 1637

Species X – Tyrosine 64 of MMLV

Species XI – Arginine 116 of MMLV

Species XII - Glutamine 190 of MMLV

Species XIII - Valine 223 of MMLV

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 12-18, 24, 26-28, 30-47 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Art Unit: 1637

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

12. A telephone call was made to Robert Esmond on July 8, 2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

13. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey Fredman whose telephone number is 703-308-6568. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on 703-308-1119. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3014 for regular communications and 703-305-3014 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1637

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Jeffrey Fredman Primary Examiner Art Unit 1637

July 8, 2002